

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOC	KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,136	10/11/2000	 Frank Runge	· + +	48996		9592
7	7590 12/20/2001	.•				\$ 2 me
Keil & Weind				EXAMINER		
1101 Connective Washington, D	cut Avenue NW C 20036		,	LANKFORD JR, LEON B		
				ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
			'	1651	· · · · ·	Ĺ
				DATE MAILED:	12/20/2001	صر

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	4								
Office Action Summary	09/673,136 Examiner		RUNGE ET AL. Art Unit						
,		1651							
The MAILING DATE of this communi	L Blaine Lankford	theet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
	2b)⊠ This action is non-fina	al							
,_	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-37</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from considerat	ion.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-37</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirem	ent.							
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed			•						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		100001000000000000000000000000000000000							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	da a ata laa la a a a a a a à								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) The translation of the foreign land 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim foreign 									
Attachment(s)									
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1 	TO-948) 5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 09/673,136

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 19-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porubcan (3897307).

Porubcan teaches making dried bacterial compositions. The bacteria, particularly *Lactobacillus* is dried in junction with a carrier to form tablets (compressed) and the like. The bacteria is useful for fermentation, foodstuffs, etc. Porubcan doesn't teach all of applicant's specific claimed properties of the method and composition,

Application/Control Number: 09/673,136

Art Unit: 1651

however as the references clearly indicate that the various proportions and amounts of the ingredients used in the claimed composition and method are result effective variables, they would be routinely optimized by one of ordinary skill in the art in practicing the invention disclosed by those references. Accordingly, the claimed invention was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Applicant would appear to allege criticality with regard to the specific pressure, compaction, air humidity, or temperature of the process. However, there is not clear and convincing evidence of criticality now of record. Any slight difference in results alleged in the specification would appear to be no more than a difference of degree rather than a difference in kind. This type of evidence is insufficient to overcome a prima facie case of obviousness. Slight variations in results are expected in microbiological/biochemical processes such as described herein. Any differences noted do not rise to the level of unexpected or unobvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Blaine Lankford whose telephone number is 308-2455. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/673,136

Art Unit: 1651

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0196.

L Blaine Lankford Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

LBL December 16, 2001